PHYS5150 — PLASMA PHYSICS

LECTURE 22 - ELECTROSTATIC WAVES IN COLD MAGNETIZED PLASMAS

Sascha Kempf*

G135, University of Colorado, Boulder

Fall 2023

1 ELECTROSTATIC WAVES IN COLD MAGNETIZED PLASMAS

- Why cold? Initial interest was for ionospheric science
- Consider both, electron and ion motion
- Equations:
 - Poisson equation
 - Continuity equation
 - 3-D momentum equation with p = 0 (cold ionosphere) and $B_0 \neq 0$
- Geometry: Wave might have $\mathbf{k}||\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{k} \perp \mathbf{B}$, or in-between

Without loss of generality we chose B and k such that

$$\mathbf{B} = [0, 0, B_z]$$

$$\mathbf{k} = [k_x, 0, k_z].$$

We consider electrostatic waves, which implies that $\mathbf{k}||\mathbf{E}$, and consequently

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t) = [E_x, 0, E_z]e^{i(k_x x + k_z z - \omega t)}.$$

We note further that

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi = [-ik_x \phi, 0, -ik_z \phi]$$

^{*}sascha.kempf@colorado.edu

LECTURE 22 PHYS5150

and

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\nabla^2 \phi = k_x^2 \phi + k_z^2 \phi.$$

The Poisson equation gives

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \sum_{s=i,\rho} \delta n_s q_s,$$

the continuity equation reads

$$\frac{\partial n_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_s \mathbf{u}_s) = 0$$

$$-i\omega\delta n_s + n_0 i(k_x u_x + k_z u_z) = 0,$$

and the momentum equation writes as

$$m_s p_s \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_s}{\partial t} + \underbrace{(\mathbf{u}_s \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_s}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u}_s^2) \sim 0} \right) = \underbrace{-\nabla p}_{T=0 \to 0} + p_s q_s \mathbf{E} + p_s q_s \mathbf{u}_s \times \mathbf{B}.$$

We first solve the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ component of the momentum equation to find $u_{x,s}$ as function of $u_{y,s}$:

$$-i\omega m_s u_{x,s} = q_s E_x + q_s u_{y,s} B_z,$$

then solve then the \hat{y} component to obtain a second relation for these velocities

$$-i\omega m_s u_{y,s} = -q_s u_{x,s} B_z$$

$$u_{y,s} = -\frac{iq_s u_{x,s} B_z}{\omega m_s} = -i\frac{\omega_{c,s}}{\omega} u_{x,s},$$

and substitute $u_{y,s}$ into the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ component

$$-i\omega m_s u_{x,s} = q_s E_x + \underbrace{q_s B_z}_{m_s \omega_{ccs}} u_{y,s} \quad \left| \leftarrow u_{y,s} \right|$$

$$= q_s E_x - i \frac{m_s}{\omega} \omega_{c,s}^2 u_{x,s}$$

$$-i\omega^2 u_{x,s} = \frac{q_s}{m_s} E_x \omega - i\omega_{c,s}^2 u_{x,s}$$

$$u_{x,s} = i \frac{q_s}{m_s} E_x \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - \omega_{c,s}^2} \right).$$

Finally, we obtain the relation for $u_{z,s}$ from the $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ component:

$$-i\omega m_s u_{z,s} = q_s E_z$$

$$u_{z,s} = i \frac{q_s}{m_s} E_z \frac{1}{\omega}.$$

We now put velocities into the continuity equation and yield

$$0 = -i\omega\delta n_s + in_0\left(i\frac{q_s}{m_s}k_xE_x\frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - \omega_{c,s}^2} + i\frac{q_s}{m_s}k_zE_z\frac{1}{\omega}\right).$$

We use that $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi$, implying that $E_x = -ik_x \phi$ and $E_z = -ik_z \phi$. After rearranging the equation above we get an expression for δn_s

$$\delta n_s = n_0 \frac{q_s}{m_s} \left[\frac{k_x^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_{c,s}^2} + \frac{k_z^2}{\omega^2} \right] \delta \phi,$$

which we put into the Poisson equation

$$(k_x^2 + k_z^2)\delta\phi = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \sum_{s=i,e} \delta n_s q_s$$

$$= \delta\phi \sum_{s=i,e} \underbrace{\left(\frac{n_0 q_s^2}{\epsilon_0 m_s}\right)}_{\omega_{2s}} \left[\frac{k_x^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_{c,s}^2} + \frac{k_z^2}{\omega^2}\right] \quad \left| \cdot \frac{\omega^2}{k^2},\right|$$

and after rearranging the equation for ω we get

$$\omega^{2} = \sum_{s=i,e} \frac{\omega_{p,s}^{2}}{k^{2}} \left[k_{z}^{2} + \frac{k_{x}^{2}}{1 - \frac{\omega_{c,s}^{2}}{\omega^{2}}} \right]$$
 (1)

The resulting *dispersion relation for electrostatic waves propagating in a cold plasma* is rather complicated, but very useful, albeit only in simplified limits.

1.1 *Dispersion relation for* $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow 0$

This case implies that $\omega_{c,s} \to 0$, which recovers the *plasma oscillation case*

$$\omega^2 = \omega_{pe}^2 + \omega_{pi}^2.$$
 (2)

1.2 Dispersion relation for $\mathbf{k} \| \mathbf{B}$

In this case there is no $\delta u \times B_z$ force acting on the plasma particles. Thus, this scenario is similar to the previous one and we get again

LECTURE 22 PHYS5150

$$\omega^2 = \omega_{pe}^2 + \omega_{pi}^2. \tag{3}$$

1.3 Strongly magnetized plasma

Strongly magnetized means that $\mathbf{B} \to \infty$, and therefore is $\omega_{c,s} \gg \omega$,

$$\frac{k_x^2}{1 - \frac{\omega_{c,s}^2}{\omega^2}} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c,s}}\right)^2 \approx 0,$$

and the dispersion relation becomes

$$\omega^2 = (\omega_{pe}^2 + \omega_{pi}^2) \frac{k_z^2}{k^2}.$$

After replacing $\frac{k_z^2}{k^2}$ by $\cos \theta$, where θ is the angle between **B** and **k**, the dispersion relation for a strongly magnetized plasma writes as

$$\omega^2 = (\omega_{pe}^2 + \omega_{pi}^2)\cos\theta.$$
 (4)